

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,124 08/16/2001		Takahiko Kishi	678-724 (P9876) 3618		
7590 10/13/2006			EXAMINER		
Paul J. Farrell, Esq. DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP		•	ZHENG, EVA Y		
333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Uniondale, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/931,124	KISHI, TAKAHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eva Yi Zheng	2611		

	Eva Yi Zheng	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have				
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS		.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered i	because				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).	omphant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ w	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	iii bo cinci od diid dii	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidation	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	ched.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		n condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Though Examiner indicated allowable subject matters in None-Final Office Action previously, applicant amended claims by combine with merely partial allowable subject matters. As a result, change and broaden the scope of the claim. Therefore, Examiner believes that the Final Office Action was proper. The arguments offered by the Applicant with regard to claims have been address sufficiently in the Examiner's office action and Examiner's position remains unchanged.

CHIEH M. FAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER